

CHARM CONTENT OF A PROTON IN COLLINEAR PARTON MODEL AND IN K_T -FACTORIZATION APPROACH

© 2003 V.A. Saleev, D.V. Vasin¹

It is shown that the difference between the c-quark proton SF's calculated in the k_T -factorization approach using different unintegrated gluon distribution functions is the same order as the difference between results obtained in the parton model and in the k_T -factorization approach.

Introduction

The result of a study for the internal structure of a proton in the process of the lepton deep inelastic scattering (DIS) can be presented in terms of a proton structure function (SF) $F_2^p(x_B, Q^2)$ as a function of $Q^2 = -q^2$ and $x_B = Q^2/2(pq)$, where q is the exchange photon 4-momentum and p is the proton 4-momentum. In a process of the charmed quark leptonproduction the charmed content of the proton structure function $F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2)$ is probed. The recent relevant measurements by the H1 [1] and the ZEUS [2] Collaborations at the HERA ep-collider include the following kinematic region: $1.8 < Q^2 < 130 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $5 \cdot 10^{-5} < x_B < 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$.

The charmed quark SF has been studied in the framework of DGLAP [3] and BFKL [4] dynamics. Usually, the c-quark SF $F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2)$ is calculated via the amplitude which described by the quark box diagrams. This type of a calculation for the $F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2)$ is presented in the talk by A.Kotikov [5].

Here we use another method which is based on a direct calculation of the total $c\bar{c}$ -production cross section in the electron DIS. In a such way, we have obtained the c-quark distribution function $C_p(x_B, Q^2)$ which is connected with the c-quark SF as follows:

$$F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2) = 2e_c^2 x_B C_p(x_B, Q^2). \quad (1)$$

1. Electroproduction cross section

In the framework of the parton model and the one photon exchange approximation the charmed quark production cross section in the electron DIS

¹Saleev Vladimir Anatolievich (saleev@ssu.samara.ru), Vasin Dmitriy Valerievich (vasin@ssu.samara.ru), Dept. of General and Theoretical Physics, Samara State University, Samara, 443011, Russia.

can be presented as a convolution of the c-quark proton distribution function and the electron-c-quark partonic cross section:

$$d\sigma(ep \rightarrow ecX) = \int dx_B C_p(x_B, Q^2) d\hat{\sigma}(ec \rightarrow ec). \quad (2)$$

The doubly differential cross section can be presented as follows:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dQ^2}(ep \rightarrow ecX) = C_p(x_B, Q^2) \frac{\overline{|M(ec \rightarrow ec)|^2}}{16\pi(x_B s)^2}, \quad (3)$$

where $s = (p_e + p)^2$, p is the proton 4-momentum, p_e is the electron 4-momentum. The squared amplitude of an elastic ec -scattering has the following form:

$$\overline{|M(ec \rightarrow ec)|^2} = 2 \frac{e^4 e_c^2}{Q^4} (x_B s)^2 \left(y^2 - 2y + 2 - \frac{2m_c^2 y^2}{Q^2} \right), \quad (4)$$

where $y = Q^2/(x_B s)$. From (1), (3) and (4) we can obtain the master formula

$$F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2) = \frac{x_B Q^4 \frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dQ^2}(ep \rightarrow ecX)}{\left(\pi \alpha^2 (y^2 - 2y + 2 - 2m_c^2 y^2 / Q^2) \right)}. \quad (5)$$

At the high energy the dominant mechanism of the c-quark electroproduction on a proton is the photon-gluon fusion. In the leading order approximation for the QCD running constant α_s the relevant subprocess is $e + g \rightarrow e + c + \bar{c}$.

In the conventional collinear parton model it is suggested that hadronic cross section, in our case $\sigma(ep \rightarrow ecX, s)$, and the relevant partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}(eg \rightarrow ec\bar{c}, \hat{s})$ are connected as follows:

$$\sigma^{PM}(ep \rightarrow ecX, s) = \int dx G(x, \mu^2) \hat{\sigma}(eg \rightarrow ec\bar{c}, \hat{s}), \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{s} = xs$, $G(x, \mu^2)$ is the collinear gluon distribution function in a proton, x is the gluon fraction of a proton momentum, μ^2 is the typical scale of a hard process. The μ^2 evolution of the gluon distribution $G(x, \mu^2)$ is described by DGLAP evolution equation [3]. In the k_T -factorization approach hadronic and partonic cross sections are related by the following condition [6]:

$$\sigma^{KT}(ep \rightarrow ecX) = \int \frac{dx}{x} \int d\vec{k}_T^2 \int \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \Phi(x, \vec{k}_T^2, \mu^2) \hat{\sigma}(eg^* \rightarrow ec\bar{c}, \hat{s}) \quad (7)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(eg^* \rightarrow ec\bar{c}, \hat{s})$ is the c-quark production cross section on the off mass-shell ("reggeized") gluon, $k^2 = -\vec{k}_T^2$, $\hat{s} = xs - \vec{k}_T^2$, ϕ is the azimuthal angle in the transverse XOY plane between vectors \vec{k}_T and the fixed OX axis (\vec{p}_e and $\vec{p}'_e \in XOZ$).

The unintegrated gluon distribution function $\Phi(x, \vec{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$ satisfies the BFKL evolution equation [4]. At the $x \ll 1$ the off mass-shell gluon has dominant longitudinal polarization along a proton momentum and the gluon polarization four-vector is written as follows [6] $\epsilon^\mu(k) = k_T^\mu / |\vec{k}_T|$.

Our calculation in the parton model was done using the GRV [7] and the CTEQ5L [8] parameterizations for a collinear gluon distribution function $G(x, \mu^2)$. In case of the k_T -factorization approach we use the following parameterizations for an unintegrated gluon distribution function $\Phi(x, \vec{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$: JB by Bluemlein [9], JS by Jung and Salam [10], KMR by Kimber, Martin and Ryskin [11]. We compared these parameterizations directly in our recent paper [12].

Finally, in the k_T -factorization formalism the doubly differential cross section for the process $ep \rightarrow ecX$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{KT}}{dx_B dQ^2} &= \frac{y}{x_B} \int dp_{cT} d\phi_c d\eta_c d\vec{k}_T^2 \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \frac{p_c p_{cT}}{E_c} \times \\ &\times \frac{|M(eg^* \rightarrow ec\bar{c})|^2}{256\pi^4(y - a_1)(xs)^2} \Phi(x, \vec{k}_T^2, \mu^2), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $p_c = (E_c, \vec{p}_c)$ is the c-quark 4-momentum, η_c is the c-quark pseudorapidity, ϕ_c is the azimuthal angle between OX axis and vector \vec{p}_{cT} , $a_1 = 2(pp_c)/s$, $b_1 = 2(p_e p_c)/s$ and

$$x = \frac{(\vec{k}_T^2 + Q^2 + yb_1s + 2(\vec{q}_T \vec{k}_T) - 2(\vec{p}_{cT} \vec{k}_T) - 2(\vec{q}_T \vec{p}_{cT}))}{((y - a_1)s)}. \quad (9)$$

We use the following approximations for gluon 4-momentum $k^\mu = xp^\mu + k_T^\mu$, where $k_T^\mu = (0, \vec{k}_T, 0)$.

In the parton model one has $\vec{k}_T = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{PM}}{dx_B dQ^2} &= \frac{y}{x_B} \int dp_{cT} d\phi_c d\eta_c \left(\frac{p_c p_{cT}}{E_c} \right) \times \\ &\times \frac{|M(eg \rightarrow ec\bar{c})|^2}{256\pi^4(y - a_1)(xs)^2} xG(x, \mu^2), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$x = (Q^2 + yb_1s - 2(\vec{q}_T \vec{p}_{cT}))/((y - a_1)s). \quad (5)$$

The obtained results (see also Fig. 1, Fig. 2) demonstrate agreement between our predictions and the recent data for the $F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2)$ from HERA [2]. However, we see that the difference between the c-quark proton SF's calculated in the k_T -factorization approach using different unintegrated gluon distribution functions is the same order as than the difference between results obtained in the parton model and in the k_T -factorization approach.

This work is supported by the RFBR (Grant 02-02-16253).

The authors would like to thank B. Kniehl, A. Kotikov and H. Jung for discussion of the obtained results, L. Lipatov and V. Kim for kind hospitality during Workshop DIS-2003.




Fig. 1. The SF $F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2)$ as a function of x_B at the $Q^2=1.8, 7, 11$ and 30 GeV^2 compared to ZEUS data




Fig. 2. The SF $F_{2c}^p(x_B, Q^2)$ as a function of x_B at the $Q^2=4, 18, 60$ and 130 GeV^2 compared to ZEUS data

References

- [1] H1, Adloff C. et al. Measurement of D^* meson cross-sections at HERA and determination of the gluon density in the proton using NLO QCD // Nucl. Phys., 1999. V. B545. P. 21.
- [2] ZEUS, Breitweg J. et al. Measurement of $D^{*\pm}$ production and the charm contribution to F_2 in deep inelastic scattering at HERA // Eur. Phys. J., 2000. V. C12. P. 35.
- [3] Gribov V.N., Lipatov L.N. Deep inelastic e p scattering in perturbation theory // Sov. J. Nucl. Phys., 1972. V. 15. P. 438;
Dokshitser Yu.A. Calculation of the structure functions for deep inelastic scattering and $e^+ e^-$ annihilation by perturbation theory in quantum chromodynamics // Sov. Phys. JETP., 1977. V. 46. P. 641;
Altarelli G., Parisi G. Asymptotic freedom in parton language // Nucl. Phys., 1977. V. B126. P. 298.
- [4] Kuraev E.A., Lipatov L.N., Fadin V.S. Multi-reggeon processes in the Yang-Mills theory // Sov. Phys. JETP, 1976. V. 44. P. 443;
Balitskii Y.I., Lipatov L.N. The pomeranchuk singularity in quantum chromodynamics // Sov. J. Nucl. Phys., 1978. V. 28. P. 822.
- [5] Parente G., Kotikov A.V., Lipatov A.V., Zotov N.P. The contribution of off-shell gluons to the structure functions F_2^c and F_2^L and the unintegrated gluon distributions. // Eur. Phys. J., 2002. V. C26. P. 51.
- [6] Gribov L.V., Levin E.M., Ryskin M.G. Semihard processes in QCD // Phys. Rep., 1983. V. 100. P. 1;
Collins J.C., Ellis R.K. Heavy quark production in very high-energy hadron collisions // Nucl. Phys., 1991. V. 360. P. 3;
Catani S., Ciafaloni M., Hautmann F. High-energy factorization and small x heavy flavor production // Nucl. Phys., 1991. V. B366. P. 135.
- [7] Gluck M., Reya E., Vogt A. Dynamical parton distributions of the proton and small x physics // Z. Phys., 1995. V. C67. P. 433.
- [8] CTEQ, Lai H.L. et al. Global QCD analysis of the parton structure of the nucleon: CTEQ5 parton distributions // Eur. Phys. J., 2000. V. C12. P. 375.
- [9] Blumlein J. On the k_T dependent gluon density of the proton // DESY-95-121, 1995.
- [10] Jung H., Salam G. Hadronic final state predictions from CCFM: the hadron level Monte Carlo generator CASCADE // Eur. Phys. J., 2001. V. C19. P. 351.
- [11] Kimber M.A., Martin A.D., Ryskin M.G. Unintegrated parton distributions // Phys. Rev., 2001. V. D63. P. 114027.
- [12] Saleev V.A., Vasin D.V. On charmonia and charmed mesons photoproduction at high-energy // Phys. Lett., 2002. V. B548. P. 161.

Paper received 21/X/2003;

Paper accepted 21/X/2003.